





Introduction to the baseline results of System Dynamics Modelling in the Lielupe River Basin

Henry D. Amorocho-Daza, MSc PhD Candidate





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101003881.

Nexus approach – Water, Energy, Food, Ecosystems













Case study – Lielupe River Basin





A business as usual pathway shows an increase in greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions and nutrients runoff

Land use trade-offs: rainfed crops, renewable energy, preservation of meadows and pastures



NXG 3rd Stakeholder Workshop



- International workshop held on the 15th June 2023 in Vilnius, Lithuania
- Identification and prioritisation of the basin's main issues and possible policies to address
 - Nutrients pollution
 - Nature-based solutions (e.g. wetlands)
 - Renewable energy transition
 - Solar and wind energy expansion
- Policy alternatives exploration



Our approach – System Dynamics Models



Feature	Count
Modules	8
Equations	160
Variables	220
Months	420
Simulations	1000



Example of submodules – Nature Based Solutions





Nutrients pollution



Policies to consider

- Implementing NBS to control nitrogen pollution
 - **Treatment 1** Woodchip Bioreactor (in-site)
 - Treatment 2 Constructed wetlands (regional – 3% of drained crop land)
 - Rapid expansion of nutrient control - 5% annual rate of implementation of NBS to control nitrogen pollution

















Both treatments

Only treatment 1 - bioreactor

Relative reduction of cumulative nutrient leaching (%)

Only treatment 2 - wetland

Relative reduction of cumulative nutrient leaching (%)

200

Relative reduction of cumulative nutrient leaching (%)





Average reduction – **42%** 50% of cases – (29%-54%)

Average reduction – **30%** 50% of cases – (15%-43%) $1.18 \rightarrow 7.5$ $13.8 \rightarrow 20.1$ $26.5 \rightarrow 32.8$ $39.1 \rightarrow 45.4$ $51.7 \rightarrow 58.1$ $64.4 \rightarrow 70.7$ 7.5
ightarrow 13.8 $20.1 \rightarrow 26.5$ $32.8 \rightarrow 39.1$ $45.4 \rightarrow 51.7$ $58.1 \rightarrow 64.4$ Mean - 27.6 Std. Dev. - 13.4 Min - 1.18 25% Percentile - 17.3 Median - 25.6 75% Percentile - 36.7 Interguartile Range - 19.3 Max - 70.7

Average reduction – **28%** 50% of cases – (17%-37%)



Renewable energy and climate



Policies to consider

- Expanding renewable energy (solar and wind)
 - 1% annual expansion following current trends
 - Wind energy potential dominates solar energy potential







Average reduction – 544M Tonn CO2 50% of cases – (500-590 Tonn CO2)



Discussion points

- Using combined NBS to control nutrient pollution shows promising results
 - It shows an expected 40% long-term reduction in nutrient loads for 2050. This is in the range of recently reported results of 30 years of nutrients control policy in Denmark (30-52%)
 - Using a single treatment shows positive results but lowers the efficiency of reduction and increases uncertainty.
 - How feasible is it to implement these alternatives? How can they be combined with other options to control nutrient pollution in the river basin?



Discussion points

- Renewable expansion represents an opportunity to reduce CO2 emissions in the long term
 - Increasing renewables by 1% a year would be equivalent to reducing 550 tonnes of CO2 in 2050.
 - In our model wind energy dominates solar energy. From your experience, can you evidence such a trend in the river basin?





Thanks for your attention!





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101003881.